## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,	) Case No. CR 12-0447 PSW
Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
KEMB SLIPPEN	) ) JUN -8 2012
Defendant.	RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Sune 8, 2012, the Courpersonal the C	
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	d be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to exp	x, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact proceed adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial med by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of d	d deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, tue diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case commasee 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	d unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
IT IS SO ORDERED.  DATED: 6/8/12	Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Once Auch Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney